

December 2021 ISSN 1684-5315 DOI: 10.5897/AJB

www.academicjournals.org



About AJB

The African Journal of Biotechnology (AJB) is a peer reviewed journal which commenced publication in 2002. AJB publishes articles from all areas of biotechnology including medical and pharmaceutical biotechnology, molecular diagnostics, applied biochemistry, industrial microbiology, molecular biology, bioinformatics, genomics and proteomics, transcriptomics and genome editing, food and agricultural technologies, and metabolic engineering. Manuscripts on economic and ethical issues relating to biotechnology research are also considered.

Indexing

CAB Abstracts, CABI's Global Health Database, Chemical Abstracts (CAS Source Index) Dimensions Database, Google Scholar, Matrix of Information for The Analysis of Journals (MIAR), Microsoft Academic, Research Gate

Open Access Policy

Open Access is a publication model that enables the dissemination of research articles to the global community without restriction through the internet. All articles published under open access can be accessed by anyone with internet connection.

The African Journals of Biotechnology is an Open Access journal. Abstracts and full texts of all articles published in this journal are freely accessible to everyone immediately after publication without any form of restriction.

Article License

All articles published by African Journal of Biotechnology are licensed under the <u>Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License</u>. This permits anyone to copy, redistribute, remix, transmit and adapt the work provided the original work and source is appropriately cited. Citation should include the article DOI. The article license is displayed on the abstract page the following statement:

This article is published under the terms of the <u>Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0</u>

Please refer to https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/legalcode for details about Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0

Article Copyright

When an article is published by in the African Journal of Biotechnology, the author(s) of the article retain the copyright of article. Author(s) may republish the article as part of a book or other materials. When reusing a published article, author(s) should; Cite the original source of the publication when reusing the article. i.e. cite that the article was originally published in the African Journal of Biotechnology. Include the article DOI Accept that the article remains published by the African Journal of Biotechnology (except in occasion of a retraction of the article). The article is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

A copyright statement is stated in the abstract page of each article. The following statement is an example of a copyright statement on an abstract page.

Copyright ©2016 Author(s) retains the copyright of this article.

Self-Archiving Policy

The African Journal of Biotechnology is a RoMEO green journal. This permits authors to archive any version of their article they find most suitable, including the published version on their institutional repository and any other suitable website.

Please see http://www.sherpa.ac.uk/romeo/search.php?issn=1684-5315

Digital Archiving Policy

The African Journal of Biotechnology is committed to the long-term preservation of its content. All articles published by the journal are preserved by <u>Portico</u>. In addition, the journal encourages authors to archive the published version of their articles on their institutional repositories and as well as other appropriate websites.

https://www.portico.org/publishers/ajournals/

Metadata Harvesting

The African Journal of Biotechnology encourages metadata harvesting of all its content. The journal fully supports and implement the OAI version 2.0, which comes in a standard XML format. See Harvesting Parameter

Memberships and Standards



Academic Journals strongly supports the Open Access initiative. Abstracts and full texts of all articles published by Academic Journals are freely accessible to everyone immediately after publication.

© creative commons

All articles published by Academic Journals are licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0). This permits anyone to copy, redistribute, remix, transmit and adapt the work provided the original work and source is appropriately cited.



Crossref is an association of scholarly publishers that developed Digital Object Identification (DOI) system for the unique identification published materials. Academic Journals is a member of Crossref and uses the DOI system. All articles published by Academic Journals are issued DOI.

Similarity Check powered by iThenticate is an initiative started by CrossRef to help its members actively engage in efforts to prevent scholarly and professional plagiarism. Academic Journals is a member of Similarity Check.

CrossRef Cited-by Linking (formerly Forward Linking) is a service that allows you to discover how your publications are being cited and to incorporate that information into your online publication platform. Academic Journals is a member of CrossRef Cited-by.



Academic Journals is a member of the International Digital Publishing Forum (IDPF). The IDPF is the global trade and standards organization dedicated to the development and promotion of electronic publishing and content consumption.

Contact

Editorial Office: ajb@academicjournals.org

Help Desk: helpdesk@academicjournals.org

Website: http://www.academicjournals.org/journal/AJB

Submit manuscript online http://ms.academicjournals.org

Academic Journals 73023 Victoria Island, Lagos, Nigeria ICEA Building, 17th Floor, Kenyatta Avenue, Nairobi, Kenya.

Editor-in-Chief

Prof. N. John Tonukari

Department of Biochemistry

Delta State University

Abraka,

Nigeria.

Estibaliz Sansinenea

Chemical Science Faculty

Universidad Autonoma De Puebla

Mexico.

Mario A. Pagnotta

Department of Agricultural and Forestry sciences

Tuscia University

Italy.

Ana I. L Ribeiro-Barros

Department of Natural Resources,

Environment and Territory

School of Agriculture

University of Lisbon

Portugal.

Bogdan Sevastre

Physiopathology Department

University of Agricultural Science and

Veterinary Medicine

Cluj Napoca Romania.

Parichat Phumkhachorn

Department of Biological Science

Ubon Ratchathani University

Thailand.

Editorial Board Members

Prof. A. I. Okoh

Applied and Environmental Microbiology Research Group (AEMREG) Department of Biochemistry and Microbiology University of Fort Hare Alice, South Africa.

Dr. Ismail Turkoglu

Department of Biology Education Education Faculty Fırat University Elazığ, Turkey.

Dr. Srecko Trifunovic

Department of Chemistry Faculty of Science University of Kragujevac Serbia.

Dr. Chong Wang

College of Animal Science Zhejiang A&F University China.

Dr. Maria J. Poblaciones

Department of Agronomy and Forest Environment Engineering Extremadura University, Spain.

Dr. Preejith Vachali School of Medicine

School of Medicine University of Utah USA.

Dr. Christophe Brugidou

Research Institute for Development (IRD) Center, France.

Dr. Carmelo Peter Bonsignore

Department PAU – Laboratorio di Entomologia ed Ecologia Applicata Mediterranean University of Reggio Calabria Italy.

Dr. Anna Starzyńska-Janiszewska

Department of Food Biotechnology Faculty of Food Technology University of Agriculture in Krakow Poland.

Table of Content

Effect of foliar application of nitrogen-phosphorus-potassium fertilizers on nutrient uptake and protein content of maize

D. Nirere, S. R. Mbaraka, F. X. Rucamumihigo, F. Murorunkwere, F. R. Musana and H. Rukangantambara

465

Vol. 20(12), pp.465-469, December 2021

DOI: 10.5897/AJB2021.17383 Article Number: 14BAB4368432

ISSN: 1684-5315 Copyright©2021

Author(s) retain the copyright of this article http://www.academicjournals.org/AJB



Full Length Research Paper

Effect of foliar application of nitrogen-phosphoruspotassium fertilizers on nutrient uptake and protein content of maize

D. Nirere^{1,2}*, S. R. Mbaraka¹, F. X. Rucamumihigo¹, F. Murorunkwere¹, F. R. Musana¹ and H. Rukangantambara¹

¹College of Agriculture, Animal Sciences and Veterinary Medicine, University of Rwanda, P. O. Box 210, Musanze, Rwanda.

²Department of Agronomy, University of Agricultural Sciences GKVK, Bengaluru, Karnataka India.

Received 9 July, 2021; Accepted 9 November, 2021

The effect of foliar application of nitrogen-phosphorus-potassium (NPK) fertilizers on nutritional status and yield of maize (*Zea mays* L.) were investigated through a study conducted in a zonal agricultural research station of University of Agricultural Sciences, GKVK Bengaluru (India). The experiment was laid out in randomized complete block design. The treatments consisted of two levels of soil application (75 and 100%) of recommended dose of fertilizer (RDF) and foliar applications of NPK (19:19:19). The fertilizers were applied at 6-leaf, tasselling, knee height and tasseling growth stages. Nutrient uptake, protein content and available soil nutrients at harvest were analyzed. Results indicated that soil application of 100% RDF along with foliar sprays of NPK at knee height and tasselling stages significantly produced the highest levels of N, P and K uptake (306.60, 100.57 and 216.24 kg ha⁻¹, respectively) and maximum protein content (11.5%). Use of this fertilizer treatment also resulted into highest available soil N (273.74 kg ha⁻¹), P (59.45 kg ha⁻¹) and K (204.88 kg ha⁻¹). The combined soil application of 100% RDF and foliar application of NPK at knee height and tasseling stages has potential to increase nutrient supply and protein content of the maize crop.

Key words: Maize, foliar application, NPK, nutrient-uptake, protein content.

INTRODUCTION

Maize (Zea mays L.) is one of the most important cereal crops in the world and it has the highest global production of all cereals due to its high yield potential (FAOSTAT, 2014). About a half of Africa's population produces maize under subsistence farming and utilizes maize as a staple

food and source of carbohydrates (Zeller et al., 2006). Maize is an exhaustive crop with high nutrient demand and its productivity mainly depends upon nutrient management system (Setiyono et al., 2010). Therefore, low soil fertility depletion and inadequate soil fertility

*Corresponding author. E-mail: nireredoro@gmail.com.

Author(s) agree that this article remain permanently open access under the terms of the <u>Creative Commons Attribution</u> <u>License 4.0 International License</u>




Figure 1. Map of GKVK, University of Agricultural Sciences Bengaluru, Agronomy field unit, Zonal Agriculture Research Station (★) where the experiment was conducted.

management may fail maize yield production (Adediran and Banjoko, 2003).

Nitrogen is the most important and primary essential nutrient for the growth and development of the maize crop (Blumenthal et al., 2008). Thus, nitrogen management in maize production system is one of the main concerns to maximum maize production through NPK fertiliser application (Davis and Westfall, 2011). For many years, NPK fertiliser application has been one of the most practical and effective ways to improve yield and nutritional quality of several crops, including maize (Law-ogbomo and Law-ogbomo, 2009). Increase in yield as a result of application of NPK has been reported in many studies (Jaliya et al., 2008, Jakab-Gabor et al., 2017).

However, limited information is available on the foliar method application complex (NPK) fertilizer at appropriate time of crop growth stage and N use efficiency and maize productivity. Foliar fertilization is commonly used to correct nutritional deficiencies in plants caused by poor supply of nutrients to roots (Ling and Silberbush, 2002). Foliar fertilizer application allows nutrient uptake by leaves considerably faster than roots since the nutrients are readily available in solution form as compared to soil application (Ahmed et al., 2011). Mukund Gowda et al. (2015) demonstrated that foliar application method in pigeon peas resulted into higher uptake of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium, as compared to soil application method.

Foliar fertilizers have potential to increase agricultural production if applied as recommended and at right time.

Application of foliar urea in wheat greatly increased grain protein content (Dampney and Salmon, 1990). In maize, use of foliar NPK fertilizers resulted into increased grain yield as compared to soil application method (Abd EL-Fattah et al., 2012). Yuncai et al. (2008) reported that application of foliar K increased corn (*Z. mays* L.) and soybean yield. Foliar application of boron in faba beans improved uptake and contents of micronutrients; Mn, Zn and Cu in the leaves (Mahmoud et al., 2006). Thus, the objective of this study was to assess the effect of foliar application of NPK fertilizers on nutrients uptake and protein content of maize (*Z. mays* L.).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Location

Field experiment was conducted in *Kharif* (wet season) 2015 in zonal agricultural research station, GKVK, University of Agricultural Sciences Bengaluru in India (Figure 1). Geographical coordinate was situated between 12° 58⁻¹ and 13° 57⁻¹ North latitude and 77° 35⁻¹ East longitude at an altitude of 930 m above sea level. The precipitation varies from 651.9 to 652.8 mm during growing season. The optimum mean temperature was 23.7°C, and relative humidity was 91.4%. The experimental site was characterized by the soil chemical and physical properties indicated in Table 1.

Experimental design

The experiment employed a completely randomized block design with seven treatments replicated three times. The treatments

Table 1. Soil physical and chemical properties of the
experimental site.

Parameter	Value				
Soil texture	Coarse sandy loam				
Organic carbon (%)	0.65				
рН	6.9				
EC (dSm ⁻¹)	0.32				
N (Kg ha ⁻¹)	386.4				
P (Kg ha ⁻¹⁾	69.3				
K (Kg ha ⁻¹)	291.53				

consisted of two levels of soil application of recommended dose of fertilizer (RDF) and foliar applications of water-soluble fertilizers (WSF) were applied as NPK (19:19:19) with 0.5% concentration. Treatment 1 (T_1): 100% RDF + WSF spray at 6-leaf growth stage, T_2 : 100 % RDF + WSF spray at tasselling stage, T_3 : 100% RDF + WSF spray at knee height and tasselling stages, T_4 : 75% RDF + WSF at 6-leaf growth, T_5 : 75% RDF + WSF spray at tasselling stage, T_6 : 75% RDF + WSF spay at knee height and tasselling stages, and T_7 (control): RDF (150: 75: 40 kg NPK ha⁻¹), and was applied in form of urea, single super phosphate and muriate of potash. Sowing was done on 29 July 2015 using seeds of Hema (NAH-1137) maize variety and other management practices were done as recommended.

Sampling

Five maize plants were sampled with respect to leaves, stem and cob for determination of nutrients uptake and protein content in maize kernel. The kernel samples were air dried and ground using grinder to obtain fine powder. The composite soil sample was taken at 0 to 25 cm depth.

Measurement of mineral nutrient uptake

Mineral concentration in the digested plant material was analyzed to determine nutrient uptake. Nitrogen content was estimated using micro-Kjeldahl procedure (Jackson, 1967) with minor modifications. Phosphorous and potassium contents were determined using vanadomolybdate colour phosphoric reaction and flame photometer method, respectively (Jackson, 1967). The protein content was determined following King-Brink and Sebranek (1993) by multiplying the N content with a convert factor (6.25).

Soil analysis for available NPK

For soil analyses, composite soil samples were air-dried, crushed, sieved and preserved for further analysis of available N, P and K after harvesting. Available soil N was estimated using micro Kjeldahl method following Jackson (1973). Available P in the soil was extracted using sodium hydrogen carbonate and estimated following Olsen's method (Jackson, 1973). Phosphorous was determined by chlorostannous reduced molybdophosphoric blue colour method and the intensity of blue colour was measured at 660 nm using spectrophotometer. The available K content was determined by flame photometer (Model: Systronics FPM-125) according to Jackson (1973).

Statistical analysis

The data were analyzed statistically for test of significance. The level of significance on "F" test was tested at 5%. The data were interpreted using CD values calculated at p≥0.05 (Gomez and Gomez, 1984) and PROC MIXED procedure based on the mixed linear model of SAS (version 9.4; SAS Institute, Cary, NC). Fisher's protected least significant difference (LSD) test at 0.05 probability level was used to detect differences between treatment means.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Mineral nutrient uptake and protein content

Results in Table 2 show that foliar application of watersoluble fertilizers significantly increased nutrients uptake of maize. Soil application of 100% RDF coupled with foliar spray at 5% concentration of WSF twice at knee height and tasselling stage (T₃) resulted into highest nutrient uptake in both maize kernel and stover. Total nitrogen, phosphorous and potassium up-taken by the plant at this stage were 306.60, 100.57 and 216.24 kg ha , respectively. Similarly, this treatment produced the highest protein content in the kernel (11.5%). The lowest total nutrient uptake (nitrogen (235.69 kg ha⁻¹), phosphorous (68.4169 kg ha⁻¹), potassium (134.59 kg ha⁻¹ 1) was observed in 75% RDF + WSF spray at 6-leaf growth stage (T₄). This same treatment (T₄) resulted into the lowest protein content (6.5%) (Table 2). Previous showed that foliar application of NPK compensated for insufficient nutrient uptake by the roots in maize (Ling and Silberbush, 2002). Foliar application of K-fertilizer was found to increase absorption of macro elements such as N, P, K, Ca, and Mg and protein content in maize (Suwanarit and Sestapukdee, 1989). Zinc foliar application increases total N uptake (Potarzycki and Grzebisz, 2009). Besides in maize, foliar application of P increases P uptake in wheat (Mosali et al., 2006) while foliar applied iron increases K concentration in soybean seed (Sohrabi et al., 2012).

Available soil nutrients after harvest

Maize sprayed with 100% RDF + WSF at 5%

Table 2. Effect of foliar application of NPK fertilizer on total nutrient uptake and protein content of maize.

Tuesturente	Nitrogen (kg ha-1)			Phosphorus (kg ha ⁻¹)			Potassium (kg ha ⁻¹)			Protein content in
Treatments	Kernel	Stover	Total	Kernel	Stover	Total	Kernel	Stover	Total	kernel (%)
T ₁ : 100% RDF + WSF at 6-leaf stage	161.68b	97.37 ^{bcd}	259.05 ^{cd}	46.45 ^{cd}	35.13 ^{bc}	81.57 ^{bcd}	38.23 ^{cd}	137.12 ^{bc}	175.35 ^b	9.8 ^{bc}
T ₂ : 100% RDF + WSF at tasselling stage	182.05a	107.97 ^{ab}	290.02ab	53.24b	37.92 ^b	91.16 ^{ab}	46.27b	149.37 ^{ab}	195.64 ^{ab}	10.2 ^{ab}
T ₃ : 100 % RDF + WSF at knee height and tasselling stage	191.95a	114.66a	306.6a	58.99a	41.58a	100.57a	52.42a	163.82a	216.24a	11.5ª
T ₄ : 75% RDF + WSF at 6-leaf stage	148.02b	87.67 ^d	235.69 ^d	37.56e	30.85^{d}	68.41e	25.98e	108.61 ^d	134.59 ^c	6.5 ^e
T ₅ : 75% RDF + WSF at tasselling stage	151.18b	90.76 ^{cd}	241.94 ^{cd}	43.25 ^d	33.21 ^{cd}	76.46 ^{cd} e	33.23 ^d	120.37 ^{cd}	153.6c	8.1 ^{de}
T ₆ : 100 % RDF + WSF at knee height and tasselling stages	164.48b	100.36bc	264.84bc	49.01bc	36.16bc	85.17 ^{bc}	40.66bc	144.73b	185.39b	10 ^{ab}
T ₇ : Control (150: 75: 40 Kg NPK ha-1)	150.28b	88.9 ^{cd}	239.18 ^{cd}	41.46de	32.89cd	74.35de	32.41d	119.55 ^{cd}	151.96c	8.2 ^{cd}
S.Em ±	5.38	3.99	8.41	1.73	1.12	3.42	1.92	6.13	6.97	0.6
CD (p= 0.05)	16.57	12.3	25.91	5.33	3.46	10.54	5.91	18.88	21.48	1.7
CV (%)	5.67	7.04	5.55	6.36	5.5	7.18	8.64	7.87	6.97	10.6

Means with by different letters are significantly different at 0.05 probability level.

Table 3. Nutrients status of soil after harvesting of foliar sprayed maize.

Treatment	Nitrogen (kg ha ⁻¹)	Phosphorus (kg ha ⁻¹)	Potassium (kg ha ⁻¹)
T ₁ : 100% RDF + WSF at 6-leaf stage	255.7 ^{bc}	56.73 ^b	204.58 ^a
T ₂ : 100% RDF + WSF at 6-leaf stage at tasselling stage	261.22 ^{ab}	56.53 ^b	203.19 ^{ab}
T ₃ : 100 % RDF + WSF at knee height and tasselling	273.74 ^a	59.45 ^a	204.88 ^a
T ₄ : 75% RDF + WSF at 6-leaf stage	228.09 ^e	48.32 ^d	192.22 ^c
T ₅ : 75% RDF + WSF at 6-leaf stage at tasselling stage	235.91 ^{de}	48.55 ^d	194.56 ^c
T ₆ : 100 % RDF + WSF at knee height and tasselling stages	242.87 ^{cd}	49.13 ^{cd}	195.01 ^c
T ₇ : Control	250.2	51.21 °	196.7 ^{bc}
S.Em ±	4.61	0.72	2.49
CD (p= 0.05)	14.2	2.23	7.69
CV (%)	3.2	2.38	2.17

Means with by different letters are significantly different at 0.05 probability level.

concentration spray twice at knee height and tasselling stage (T_3) showed the highest amount of available N (273.74 kg ha⁻¹), P (59.45 kg ha⁻¹) and K (204.88 kg ha⁻¹) in the soil as compared to

other treatments (Table 3). While, soil available P_2O_5 was higher with 100% RDF + WSF spray twice at knee height and tasselling stage (59.45 kg ha⁻¹) as compared to other treatments. The soil

available K_2O content was significantly higher in 100% RDF + WSF spray twice at knee height and tasselling stage (204.88 kg ha⁻¹) or single spray of WSF at 6 leaf growth stage (204.58 kg

ha⁻¹) or at tasselling stage (203.19 kg ha⁻¹) (Table 3). Foliar application of zinc fertilizer in maize showed increased contents of available P and zinc (Potarzycki and Grzebisz, 2009).

Conclusion

Results of the study demonstrate the potential benefits of foliar application of NPK in maize. Application of foliar NPK fertilizers has been using dose of 100% RDF along with foliar sprays of NPK at knee height and tasselling stages can increase plant uptake of macronutrients N, P and K and also result into high protein content in the maize kernel. Furthermore, foliar application of NPK increases available soil NPK thus this method might be suitable in enhancing soil nutrient status. This method of NPK fertilizer application can be adopted in maize cultivation for increasing uptake of N, P and K. However, further research on application techniques can be explored to make foliar application more efficient among maize farmers.

CONFLICT OF INTERESTS

The authors have not declared any conflict of interests.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors thank the government of India for its financial facilities through Indian Council of Culture Relation and Department of Agronomy, University of Agricultural Sciences GKVK, and Bengaluru for providing all possible research facilities for both field experiments and laboratory analyses.

REFERENCES

- Abd El-Fattah AA, Selim EM, Awad EM (2012). Response of corn plants (Zea mays) to soil and foliar applications of mineral fertilizers under clay soil conditions. Journal of Applied Sciences Research 8(8):4711-4719.
- Adediran JA, Banjoko VA (2003). Comparative effectiveness of some compost fertilizer formulation for maize in Nigeria. Nigerian Journal of Soil Science (13):42-48.
- Ahmed AG, Tawfik MM, Hassanein MS (2011). Foliar feeding of potassium and urea for maximizing wheat productivity in sandy soil. Australian Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences 5(5):1197-1203.
- Blumenthal J, Baltensperger D, Cassman KG, Mason S, Pavlista A (2008). Importance and effect of nitrogen on crop quality and health, nitrogen in the environment: Sources, Problems, and Management, Elsevier, Oxford, 2nd edition.
- Dampney PMR, Salmon SE (1990). The effect of rate and timing of late nitrogen applications to bread-making wheats as ammonium nitrate or foliar urea-N, and the effect of foliar sulphur application I. Effect on yield, grain quality and recovery of nitrogen in grain. Aspects of Applied Biology (25):229-241.
- Davis JG, Westfall DG (2011). Fertilizing corn. Fact Sheet, No. 0.538, Colorado State University Extension, USA.

- http://www.ext.colostate.edu/pubs/crops/00538.html.
- FAOSTAT (2014). Food and Agriculture Organisation Statistics Database. http://faostat3.fao.org/faostat-gateway/go/to/home/E
- Gomez KA, Gomez AA (1984). Data that violate some assumptions of the analysis of variance. In: Gomez KA, Gomez AA (Eds) Statistical Procedures for Agricultural Research pp. 294-315.
- Jackson ML (1967). Soil chemical analysis. Prentince hall of India Pvt. Limited, New Delhi pp. 111-203.
- Jackson ML (1973). Soil chemical analysis. Prentince hall of India Pvt. Limited, New Delhi P 498.
- Jaliya MM, Falaki AM, Mahmud M, Sani YA (2008). Effect of sowing date and NPK fertilizer rate of yield and yield components of quality protein maize (*Zea mays* L.). ARPN Journal of Agricultural and Biological Science 3(2):23-29.
- Jakab-Gabor P, David Z, Komarek FS (2017). Investigation of foliar fertilization in maize production. Advanced Research in Life Sciences 1(1):1-6.
- King-Brink M, Sebranek JG (1993). Combustion method for determination of crude protein in meat and meat products: collaborative study. Journal of AOAC International 76(4):787-793.
- Ling F, Silberbush M (2002). Response of maize to foliar vs. soil application of nitrogen-phosphorus-potassium fertilizers. Journal of Plant Nutrition 25(11):2333-2342.
- Law-Ogbomo KE, Law-Ogbomo JE (2009). The performance of *Zea mays* as influenced by NPK fertilizer application. Natural Science Biology 1(1):59-62.
- Mahmoud MS, Abdalla El-Sayed F, El-Nour A, EAM Aly, El-Saady, Mohamed AK (2006). Boron nitrogen interaction effect on growth and yield of faba bean plants grown under sandy soil conditions. International Journal of Agricultural Research 1(4):322-330.
- Mosali J, Desta K, Teal RK, Freeman KW, Martin KL, Lawles JW, Raun WR (2006). Effect of foliar application of phosphorous on winter wheat grain yield, phosphorous uptake, and use efficiency. Journal of Plant Nutrition 29(12):2147-2163.
- Mukund Gowda K, Halepyati AS, Koppalkar BG, Satyanarayana Rao (2015). Yield, nutrient uptake and economics of pigeonpea (*Cajanus cajan* L. Millsp.) as influenced by soil application of micronutrients and foliar spray of macronutrients. Karnataka Journal of Agricultural Sciences 28(2):266-268.
- Potarzycki J, Grzebisz W (2009). Effect of zinc foliar application on grain yield of maize and its yielding components. Plant, Soil and Environment 55(12):519-527.
- Sohrabi Y, Habibi A, Mohammadi K, Sohrabi M, Heidari G, Khalesro S, Khalvandi M (2012). Effect of nitrogen (N) fertilizer and foliar-applied iron (Fe) fertilizer at various reproductive stages on yield, yield component and chemical composition of soybean (*Glycine max* L. Merr.) seed. African Journal of Biotechnology 11(40):9599-9605.
- Setiyono TD, Walters DT, Cassmana KG, Witt C, Dobermann A (2010). Estimating maize nutrient uptake requirements. Field Crops Research 118(2):158-168.
- Suwanarit A, Sestapukdee M (1989). Stimulating effects of foliar K-fertilizer applied at the appropriate stage of development of maize: A new way to increase yield and improve quality. Plant and Soil 120(1):111-124.
- Yuncai H, Zoltan B, Schmidhalt U (2008). Effect of foliar fertilization application on the growth and mineral nutrient content of maize seedling under drought and salinity. Soil Science and Plant Nutrition 54(1):133-141
- Zeller M, Sharma M, Henry C, Lapenu C (2006). An operational method for assessing the poverty outreach performance of development policies and projects: results of case studies in Africa, Asia, and Latin America. World Development 34(3):446-464.

Related Journals:

















